

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,489		07/07/2005	Kazuo Nishimura	2005-1077A	1100
513	7590	07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.				NGUYEN, PHONG H	
2033 K STF SUITE 800		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			3724	
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		X/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/541,489	NISHIMURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\dashv				
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	··						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
are subject to restriction and	c. Sicolon roganoment.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2005</u> is/are: a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H S C S	119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority uniter 33 U.S.C. §	1 13(a)-(u) of (i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure	, ,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)	∧□	(DTO 412)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0- Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/07/2005</u>. 	8) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
6 :	.,	-					

Application/Control Number: 10/541,489

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishimura (JP2003-136471).

Regarding claim 1, Nishimura teaches a paper cutting machine comprising a table for supporting a stack of paper sheets, a cutting blade 3, a paper holder 2, a vertical guide for guiding the paper holder 2, a first motor 10 for driving the paper holder 2, a first screw 7 to be rotated by the first motor 10, a first nut 8a screwed to the first screw, a link for coupling the first nut with the paper holder 5a, a pair of guides (12 a, b) having guide grooves (13 a, b), a slider 14 and a mechanism for vibrating the slider. See the Abstract and Figs. 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 2, a vertical groove 20 engaging with the slider and a second screw 15 are best seen in Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 3, a gear mechanism connect to a second motor 16 creating vibration is best seen in Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,489 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura in view of Althaus (5,214,851).

Regarding claim 4, Nishimura teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for using a pair of eccentric gears to create controllable vibration.

Althaus teaches using a pair of eccentric gears to create controllable vibration.

See col. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate a pair of eccentric gears as taught by Althaus to the paper cutting assembly of Nishimura to create controllable vibration.

Regarding claim 5, the formulas are functional language and do not provide any constructive limitation. Since the cutting blade in the combination of Nishimura and Althaus vibrates during a cutting process, the combination of Nishimura and Althaus meets the functional language.

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura in view of Creighton et al. (6,799,497), hereinafter Creighton.

Nishimura teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for stoppers for adjusting a position of the cutting blade.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Creighton teaches stoppers (124, 126) for adjusting a position of a cutting blade. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate stoppers as taught by Creighton to the paper cutting device of Nishimura to adjust positions of the cutting blade.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Malm (508,329), Eddy (3,710,665), Blumer (3,203,288), Thumin (3,073,201), Baumgartner (2,798,417), Handley (3,857,316) and Halpern (2,672,197) teach paper cutting machines of general interest.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 3724

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PN:

June 27, 2006